

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,847	ONUMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowance

(1) Thanh-Tam T. Le.

(3) _____

(2) John Bird (Reg. No. 46,027).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 December 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 9-11

Prior art documents discussed:

5,772,454 and 6,334,798

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed and agreed with Mr. Bird upon the changes to clarify the claimed invention to more distinctly define over the prior art of record to incorporate the limitations of claims 9-11 into claim 1 thereby to place the case in a condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


THANH-TAM LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)